EAC Amendment Request: Contingency Hauling from 85th Avenue Industrial Lands to Dam Site

Virtual Town Hall





Virtual Town Hall Agenda

Opening & welcome (Dave Conway)	7:00 PM				
Review of agenda					
EAC Amendment Request: Overview (Karen von Muehldo	orfer) 7:05 PM				
EAC Amendment Request: Traffic, Air Quality, Noise					
Traffic (John Bodnarchuk)	7:20 PM				
Air Quality (Matthew Johnston)	7:30 PM				
Noise (Matthew Johnston)	7:40 PM				
Human Health (Bart Koppe)	7:50 PM				
Meeting closure	8:00 PM				



Site C Project Participants

- Facilitator Dave Conway, BC Hydro
- Presenter Karen von Muehldorfer, BC Hydro
- Presenter John Bodnarchuk, Tetra Tech
- Presenter Matthew Johnston, RWDI
- Presenter Bart Koppe, Intrinsik
 - Host Lindsay Routledge, BC Hydro
 - Support Kate O'Neil, BC Hydro
 - Support Wendy Laluk, BC Hydro
- Subject Matter Expert Shanna Mason, BC Hydro
- Subject Matter Expert Josh Gietz, BC Hydro
- Subject Matter Expert Nancy Pepper, BC Hydro
- Subject Matter Expert Molly Brewis, BC Hydro
- Subject Matter Expert Brock Simons, BC Hydro
- Subject Matter Expert Laura Dailyde, RWDI



Zoom Meeting Reminders

We'll be using a few basic tools, which you can find at the bottom of the screen





Virtual Town Hall Etiquette



- Keep the conversation respectful by focusing on the idea, not the person
- Stay curious about new ideas
- Share the airtime ensure everyone gets heard
- To minimize distractions, participants will be "muted" during presentations & "unmuted" during Q&A
- Comments and questions not related to the EAC amendment will be recorded and followed up on later
- A summary report of the meeting will be provided to the
 BCEAO for information only
 BC Hydro
 Power smart

EAC Amendment Request: Overview





Reason for Request:

- ~3 million m³ of this material must be transported to the dam site area for the approach channel and earthfill dam
- Transport of material to the dam site can only take place during warmer seasons
- Stoppages to the delivery of material to the dam site during this 7-month window could result in significant construction delays
- Moving material via trucks will offset potential construction impacts on these components of the Project.





DRAFT EAC Amendment Request:

- Shared with Indigenous groups, local governments, MOTI, EAO and local residents in January 2021
- Haul Route: from 85th Avenue/Shaman Industrial Way to the Dam Site via Old Fort Road, 240 Road, and 269 Road to Gate A
- Indicated BC Hydro would be hauling approximately 10 days per season (April to October) for maintenance, and for longer periods when the conveyor was not operational due to mechanical breakdowns



BC Hydro Power smart

DRAFT EAC Amendment Request:

- PRRD, City of Fort St. John and local resident feedback on draft EAC Amendment Request:
 - Questions about alternatives (stockpiling material on site, constructing another conveyor, constructing road beside till haul conveyor)
 - Concerns about noise, air quality, traffic impacts, and safety



REVISED EAC Amendment Request - Alternatives to Hauling:

• Sourcing material from another till location:

- 85th Avenue Industrial Lands is the best source of glacial till
- 85th Avenue is also close enough to the dam site to transport material via conveyor

Constructing an Additional Till Conveyor:

- Would require the widening of the current right-of-way
- Impacts on wooded areas, agricultural land reserve, private property owners adjacent to the right-of-way.

• Hauling on Service Maintenance Road:

- Would require both upgrading of the road base to accommodate haul truck loads, and widening of the right-of-way to accommodate two-way traffic.
- Impacts on wooded areas, the agricultural land reserve and private properties.
- Hauling on non-paved road may cause dust levels adverse to human health



85th Ave EAC Amendment

REVISED EAC Amendment Request Reflects Revised Haul Route



REVISED EAC Amendment Request Reflects Revised Contingency Hauling Proposal

No hauling required during periods of regular or planned maintenance.

"Haul trucks may be required to transport material from 85th Avenue Industrial Lands to the dam site area via public roads when the conveyor is not operational due to events beyond BC Hydro's control. Hauling may commence when the conveyor is expected to be not operational for more than three days."



REVISED EAC Amendment Request Reflects Revised Contingency Hauling Proposal

Potential Causes of Conveyor System Downtime Triggering Need to Haul:

- Vandalism
- Extreme and prolonged inclement weather
- Equipment repair delay/disruption
- Expertise disruption
- Localized fires
- Vehicle, equipment, or human accident
- "Force Majeure" or "Act of God" events



REVISED EAC Amendment Request Includes Communications Plan

Purpose: To ensure the public is aware of why truck hauling is being proposed as a contingency when the conveyor is not operational due to events beyond BC Hydro's control

Audience: Nearby residents, Road users, local government, provincial MLA and federal MP, media

Methods of Communication:

- First haul: Door-to-door notification
- Twitter
- Email and/or text notification list
- Website update
- Electronic dynamic messaging signs on the roadway
- Public advisory
- Standing item in biweekly construction bulletin

BC Hydro contact for questions/concerns: sitec@bchydro.com or 1-877-217-0777.



14

Assessment Of Effects/Valued Components

- Assessment of effects of proposed hauling based on maximum potential hauling schedule:
- Hauling activities would occur from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM daily
 - except during the period of the school bus pick-up and drop-off schedule
- Assessment assumes that we will need to haul 7,600 m³ of till per day.
 - 25 trucks
 - 122 trucks trips per hour along the route (61 trucks loaded/ 61 trucks unloaded)
 - approximately one truck passing a given location along the route every 30 seconds



Assessment of Effects/Valued Components

- 22 Valued Components in the EIS Reviewed for interactions with the proposed hauling activity
- 9 Valued Components Assessed as having Interactions
- Assessment determined that the proposed hauling will not change the outcome of the findings of the EIS with respect to the Valued Components
- Feedback on draft amendment focused on the following Valued Components:
 - Transportation (Traffic Analysis)
 - o Human Health
 - Air Quality
 - Noise



EAC Amendment Request: Traffic





Transportation (Traffic Analysis)

Methodology

- Establish existing traffic volumes and performance of the roadway/intersections.
- Include anticipated growth patterns for this background traffic years of operation.
- Add the volume of contingency haul traffic.
- Model any changes to performance of the roadway/intersections.
- Identify any changes to the Level of Service for the route intersections.



Transportation (Traffic Analysis)

Control Delay (seconds/vehicle)	Operational Level of Service	Old Fort Road Segment	Operational Performance		Old Fort		raffic Volume o-way)
0 to 10	А		Background (without	Background	Road Segment	Background	Background
>10 to 15	В		`haul)	(with haul)	Jegment	(without haul)	(with haul)
>15 to 25	С	Shaman intersection	А	В	Shaman	270	390
>25 to 35	D	Shaman to	А	А	intersection	270	550
>35 to 50	Е	240 Road 240 Road			Shaman to 240 Road	270	390
>50	F	intersection	В	В	240 Road	270	390
, 30		240 Road to Gate B	А	А	intersection 240 Road to	50	170
		Gate B intersection	А	В	Gate B Gate B intersection	60	1/0

- Level of Service (LoS) A represents the highest operational performance, effectively unimpeded traffic movement
- LoS C represents acceptable conditions



Transportation (Traffic Analysis)

Findings:

- Shaman Intersection westbound left turn at LoS B; all other movements remain at LoS A
- 240 Road intersection eastbound movements at LoS B; all other movements remain at LoS A
- Gate B intersection northbound left turn at LoS B; all other movements remain at LoS A

Old Fort	Operational Performance			
Road Segment	Background (without haul)	Background (with haul)		
Shaman intersection	А	В		
Shaman to 240 Road	А	А		
240 Road intersection	В	В		
240 Road to Gate B	А	А		
Gate B intersection	А	В		



85th Ave EAC Amendment Transportation (Traffic Analysis)

Findings:

- Analysis confirmed that the background traffic for current and future traffic volumes are performing very well.
- The addition of truck traffic demonstrates only slight increases in the expected delay for all vehicles using the proposed haul route (3 seconds or less at intersections).
- Greatest impact in terms of delay would be for the internal BC Hydro segments.
- Proposed haul route is viewed to be safe now; do not expect any changes to the road safety



Transportation (Traffic Analysis)

Mitigation:

- The contractor will be required to develop a traffic management plan in accordance with BC MoTI Traffic Management Manual for Work on Roadways – which would include:
 - Minor signage, variable message signs, speed reader boards.
 - Scheduling school bus operating hours, local events.
 - Monitoring dust/debris/surface base repairs
- Roadway maintenance would be identified and completed as required in conjunction with MoTI.



Questions related to <u>traffic</u>?



EAC Amendment Request: Air Quality & Noise





Air Quality

All paved roads have a fine layer of silt that varies by local activity, and the number and weight of the vehicles.

Track-out of gravel and silt by truck tires from unpaved roads to paved roads at both ends of the haul route were identified as being the most likely contributors to airborne dust emissions.

Prediction of the effect from truck air emissions relied upon estimation models from the US EPA including:

- Roadway particulate emissions were estimated using factors for sand and gravel processing (70 g/m²);
- Tailpipe emissions were calculated for haul trucks both travelling and idling using MOVES model; and
- To predict effects of all haul route trucks on public property, AERMOD dispersion model was used with 3 years of hourly meteorological readings from FSJ airport and background ambient air quality readings from Site C monitoring network. This approach is consistent with BC MOE dispersion model guideline.

Haul route emissions would increase existing 85th Ave emissions by approximately 33% to 270% (annually) and Dam Construction Year 6 (2020-2021) emissions ranging from approximately 1% to 5%.





85th Ave EAC Amendment Air Quality

Maximum predicted 24-hour PM₁₀ concentrations with ambient background and mitigation



85th Ave EAC Amendment Mitigation Measures

• Air Quality:

- Cover truck loads where feasible, check truck tires for rocks and dislodge as required
- Keep first 200 m of paved road clean at both ends of haul route by regular water flushing of road surface using water trucks with spray bars
- For the first 200 m of paved roads at both ends of haul route, regularly wet sweep road surface to dislodge tracked out soil and gravel using wet sweepers
- Apply dust suppressant to gravel roads at borrow pit







27

85th Ave EAC Amendment

Questions related to <u>air quality</u>?



Noise from haul trucks is expected to be most audible at residences during pass-by events.

The dominant noise sources from haul trucks include:

- Truck engine (primarily the engine exhaust);
- Tire noise; and
- Braking.

Community noise impacts due to the haul route were assessed as follows:

- Detailed modelling was completed using Datakustik's Cadna/A sound level prediction software (ISO Standard 9613)
- Modelled Results were evaluated using Health Canada's Guideline <u>Evaluating Human</u> <u>Health Impacts in Environmental Assessment: Noise</u>
- According to Health Canada, the two primary indicators for potential health effects due to noise are **complaints** and **annoyance**.



Modelling results indicated that predicted noise impacts at homes may invoke sporadic complaints from the public but are below the threshold for unacceptable annoyance as defined by Health Canada.

Primary factors in limiting health effects included:

- Daytime only hauling (limiting sleep disturbance);
- Haul trucks assumed to be in good working order with appropriate mufflers.

Good operating practices will further minimize community disturbance due to noise. For example:

- Implement construction communications plan, notifying potentially affected residents
- Implement and verify effective traffic management strategies are in place (controlled braking, avoid use of engine retarder brakes and avoid lineups close to residences)



Questions related to <u>noise</u>?



EAC Amendment Request: Human Health

Bart Koppe





85th Ave EAC Amendment Human Health

- Human health risk assessment of original haul route
- Followed conventional approach (Health Canada, BC MOH)
- Based on findings of the air quality and noise assessments/
- Focus on homes along the haul route
- **Conclusion**: With implementation of the planned mitigation measures, use of the (original or revised) haul route was not expected to have an adverse effect on health.





85th Ave EAC Amendment Human Health

- Air contaminants:
 - Particulate matter (different size fractions: PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, TSP and DPM)
 - NO₂
 - SO₂
- Short-term and long-term risks
- Air concentrations (predicted + background) were compared to healthbased exposure limits

Notes: NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide, SO_2 = sulphur dioxide, TSP = total suspended particulate (up to 100 microns), PM_{10} = particulate < 10 microns, $PM_{2.5}$ = particulate < 2.5 microns, DPM = diesel particulate matter



Human Health

PM: Why focus on smaller fractions?



Source: US EPA



Source: WHO



Human Health – Conservative Assumptions:

- Assumed haul route would be required continuously from April 1 to October 31 from 2021 to 2023
- Analysis assumes 61 truck trips per hour per direction (total of 122 trips per hour)
- Addition of conservative background estimates
- Assumed residents would be continuously present at their home



85th Ave EAC Amendment Human Health – Air Quality Results:

- At residential locations:
 - Short- and long-term air concentrations of NO₂, PM_{2.5} and SO₂ are expected to meet health-based exposure limits
 - Long-term air concentrations of DPM are expected to meet the healthbased exposure limit
 - Short-term air concentrations of PM₁₀ and TSP are expected to exceed health-based exposure limits
- When assessing different sizes (fractions) of particulate matter, $PM_{2.5}$ is the clearest indicator of potential health risks
- Most (90%) of the PM emissions are associated with road dust



85th Ave EAC Amendment Human Health – Noise Results:

- All residential locations are expected to meet Health Canada's annoyance criterion for the proposed haul route.
- While predicted noise levels may result in sporadic complaints from residents along the haul route, BC Hydro plans to reduce the likelihood of complaints by communicating directly with potentially affected residents.



85th Ave EAC Amendment Human Health - Original Conclusions:

- 1. Changes in air quality are not expected to have an adverse effect on health.
- 2. Overall, noise associated with the haul route is not expected to have an adverse effect on health.
- **Conclusion:** Effective implementation of BC Hydro's planned mitigation measures and a committed communication program with the nearby residents should ensure that use of the haul route will not result in adverse health effects.



85th Ave EAC Amendment Human Health -- Conclusions

Alternate route: Conclusions Remain Valid







Questions related to <u>human health</u>?



A summary report from the virtual town hall will be provided to the BCEAO for information purposes only. It will not form part of the formal BCEAO review.

Comments must be submitted directly to the BCEAO in order for them to be considered as part of the formal BCEAO review.

Provide input to the BC Environmental Assessment Office:

- Online form: https://projects.eao.gov.bc.ca/p/588511a0aaecd9001b82316d/commenting
- Mail: Sarah Duggan, Project Assessment Director, Environmental Assessment Office PO Box 9426, Stn Prov Govt, Victoria BC V8W 9V1
- Fax: 250-356-2208

